

Sue Pollard's slogan on her signs reads: "Sue Pollard the RESPONSIBLE Choice."

Pollard is currently running for Summit County Council **Seat B**.

Having served on a local board with Sue Pollard we have first hand experience regarding her character and methods. We ask you:

- Is it responsible to serve on a board and attend less than half of the monthly meetings?
- Is it responsible to shirk her duty to plan the annual meeting and election?
- Is it responsible to proceed with an alternate, deceptive agenda at the best-attended annual meeting in 15+ years with her plans to sabotage the election?
- Is it responsible to call for a vote of the 74 attendees then pronounce the 5 shills a majority?
- Is it responsible to ingratiate herself to the board secretary while covertly undermining her work and efforts?
- Is it responsible to cast unfounded aspersions on the seven people on the ballot that were elected by 81% of the membership to replace resigned or dysfunctional trustees?
- Is it responsible to anger and confuse a large auditorium by claiming there were "irregularities, some which pertain to the process of the nomination procedure" when she was not present or available to assist or confer in the planning or nomination process during regular board meetings?
- Is it responsible to squander around \$8,000 of association funds to receive advice from an attorney on how to protect herself and conceal her reckless acts?
- Is it responsible to do all the above harm in order to place her name and the names of her two cronies on the illegitimate replacement meeting ballot without a voting quorum?
- Is it responsible or ethical to disenfranchise 81% voter participation for her personal motives and gain?
- Is it responsible to be party to changing the Bylaws during an election meeting and putting them in effect without the vote of the membership though the Bylaws state all changes are not valid until all property owners have been given 10 days notice?
- Is it responsible to not allow a member in good standing to be included on the ballot though he received six written nominations and one from the floor?
- Is it responsible to not post date, time, or place of board meetings and to essentially close meetings to member participation?
- Is it responsible to build animosity and create distrust in our neighborhood?
- Is it responsible to work secretly, creating what is perceived as a conspiratorial agenda?

All of the above actions and more are in the association minutes and have been posted online since a few days after they occurred without protest, correction, or comment from Pollard or the illegitimate board she fostered, nor subsequent boards or trustees. Quite a large number of members stand as witnesses to Pollard's IRRESPONSIBLE actions.

An example: [Report on the October 13, 2008 SSSFHOA Annual Meeting and Election and Sue Pollard's ultimate responsibility for its failure.](#)

A civilized society cannot endure Pollard's type of "Responsibility." Calvin Coolidge said: "It is much more important to kill bad bills than to pass good ones."

By the same logic it is much more important to not vote for one of two bad candidates. Approval voting that leads to votes for "the lesser of two evils" is misleading and damaging. If NEITHER candidate in any race lacks fiscal integrity, lacks a responsible moral reputation, or lacks American values then VOTE FOR NEITHER.

Send the message: no more politics as usual!

Supported by Citizens for Responsible Government